

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,584	07/16/2003	Dong-Gyu Kim	SEC.310D3	7434	
7590 09/29/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
JONES & VOLENTINE, L.L.P.			TON, MINH TOAN T		
Suite 150 12200 Sunrise Valley Drive Reston, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871	TALER NOMBER	
*				DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/619,584	KIM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Toan Ton	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
<i>,</i>						
,—	,—					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>36-41</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	6) Claim(s) <u>36-41</u> is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11) I he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ul>						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	. 🗖					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/619,584 Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 36-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Prior Art (APA hereinafter, Figures 1-2) in view of Hiraishi et al (US 5831708).

APA discloses all except for the third signal line interposing the first and the second signal lines.

Hiraishi discloses (see at least col. 18, last paragraph to col. 19, first paragraph and an embodiment 7): in the conventional(common) device, an extra line is arranged outside of the display area, and a connection defect is repaired by connecting the disconnected section to the extra line with the irradiation of a laser. However, with this, there yields several disadvantages such as the number of lines which can be repaired is limited by the number of extra lines since providing a large number of extra lines is not preferred because this causes an increase in the non-display area. Hiraishi solves these disadvantages through forming the extra/repairing line (Applicant's third line) on the inside of the display area. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ a third signal line interposing the first and second signal lines (formed on the inside of the display area) for avoiding disadvantages such as the number of lines which can be repaired is limited by the number of extra lines since providing a large number of extra lines is not preferred because this causes an increase in the non-display

Application/Control Number: 10/619,584 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

area. Hiraishi also discloses the connection lines passing between the adjacent gate lines and partly overlaps both the gate lines.

Forming the pixel electrode overlapping the bus lines is known in the art for yielding advantages such as large aperture (display area) ratio. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the pixel electrode overlapping the bus lines (gate or/and data lines), as known in the art, for yielding advantages such as large aperture (display area) ratio.

## Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

## **Contact Information**

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toan Ton whose telephone number is (571) 272-2303.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

September 22, 2004

TOANTON PRIMARY EXAMINER